Risk of preterm birth, low birthweight and small-for-gestational-age infants in pregnancies with adenomyosis: A cohort study of the Japan Environment and Children's Study
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Abstract

Introduction: This study evaluated the risk of preterm birth, low birthweight and small-for-gestational-age neonates born to mothers with adenomyosis during pregnancy.

Material and methods: We used the results of a Japanese nationwide prospective birth cohort study, identifying 93,668 singleton deliveries from 2011 to 2014. We identified 314 pregnancies with adenomyosis using self-reported questionnaires. Multiple logistic regression analyses were conducted to examine whether adenomyosis was associated with adverse pregnancy outcome. Maternal age, smoking status, method of conception, history of parity, fibroids, endometriosis and body mass index before pregnancy were analyzed as confounding factors.

Results: Multiple logistic regression analysis showed that pregnancy with adenomyosis was a risk factor for preterm birth at less than 37 weeks (adjusted odds ratio [aOR: 2.49, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.89-3.41], preterm birth at less than 34 weeks (aOR 1.91, 95% CI 1.02-3.55), low birthweight <2500 g (aOR 1.83, 95% CI 1.36-2.45), low birthweight <1500 g (aOR 2.39, 95% CI 1.20-4.77) and small-for-gestational-age neonates (aOR 1.68, 95% CI 1.13-2.51).

Conclusions: This study found that pregnancy with adenomyosis was associated with preterm birth, low birthweight and small-for-gestational-age neonates.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Adenomyosis is defined as the ectopic proliferation of endometrial glands and stroma within the myometrium of the uterus. Therefore, the uterus becomes edematous and enlarged.1,2 Adenomyosis affects up to 10%-20% of women of reproductive age3-5 and often results in severe dysmenorrhea and/or hypermenorrhea. The disease occurs more often in women between the ages of 30 and 40 years.1

It is widely accepted that adenomyosis is related to reproductive disorders. Several studies have reported that adenomyosis affects fertility, spontaneous abortion and second-trimester

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; JECS, Japan environment and children’s study; LBW, low birthweight; PTB, preterm birth; SGA, small-for-gestational-age.
However, obstetric and neonatal outcomes in patients with adenomyosis have not yet been thoroughly investigated.

Recently, some studies have reported obstetric complications in pregnancies with adenomyosis. Preterm birth (PTB), which may cause low birthweight (LBW) in neonates, is a common obstetric complication, leading to significant neonatal morbidity and mortality. Previous studies have reported that pregnancy with adenomyosis is related to PTB and/or fetal growth restriction, both of which may cause LBW in neonates. However, previous studies were conducted using retrospective reviews of patients from just one or only a few tertiary medical institutions. Such studies have potential limitations, including a lack of statistical power and non-representation of the general population.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine the risk of PTB, LBW and small-for-gestational-age (SGA) neonates born to mothers with adenomyosis using data from a nationwide Japanese birth cohort study.

2 MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Data sources

In the present study, we used the results of the Japan Environment and Children’s Study (JECS), a nationwide, government-funded birth cohort study. Enrollment in this study started in January 2011 and ended in March 2014, and it evaluated the effects of various environmental factors on children's health. The eligibility criteria for JECS participants (expectant mothers) were as follows: (1) prospective participants should have been residing in the study areas at the time of recruitment and expected to reside continually in Japan for the foreseeable future; (2) the expected delivery date was between 1 August 2011 and mid-2014; (3) individuals should have been capable of participating in the study without difficulty (ie, they must have been able to comprehend the Japanese language and complete the self-administered questionnaire).

The target recruitment rate was more than 50% of all eligible mothers. Either or both of the following two recruitment protocols were applied: recruitment at the time of the first prenatal examination at cooperating obstetric facilities and/or recruitment at local government offices issuing pregnancy journals, namely the Mother-Child Health Handbook, which is given to all expecting mothers in Japan before receiving municipal services for pregnancy, delivery and childcare. Written informed consent was obtained from all participating women.

2.2 Data collection

Data for the current analysis were extracted from the dataset released in June 2016 (dataset: jecs-ag-20160424). From this dataset, we used two types of data: T1, obtained from a self-reported questionnaire collected during the pregnancy (the first questionnaire), and M0, obtained from the medical records provided by each woman’s institution. Women who delivered before 22 weeks, had a multiple pregnancy or for whom there was insufficient data on maternal background or obstetrics outcome were excluded from this analysis.

2.3 Maternal medical background

Maternal medical background information was obtained from two sources: M0 data (maternal age at the time of delivery, body mass index [BMI] before pregnancy, primiparity or multiparity and methods of conception) and T1 data (maternal smoking status and the presence of adenomyosis and/or fibroids and/or endometriosis before pregnancy). Maternal participants were requested to provide information about the presence of adenomyosis (or fibroids or endometriosis), answering the question: “Have you ever diagnosed as adenomyosis (or fibroids or endometriosis) at a medical institution?” The maternal participants who answered “Yes” were classified as having adenomyosis (or fibroids or endometriosis). The mothers were categorized into six age groups: <20, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39 or ≥40 years. BMI was calculated according to World Health Organization standards (bodyweight [kg]/[height [m]]^2). We categorized the women into three groups according to BMI: <18.5 (lean), 18.5-25.0 (normal) and ≥25.0 kg/m^2 (obese). The methods of conception were categorized as conception with or without medically assisted reproduction. Maternal participants were also classified as smoking or non-smoking.

2.4 Obstetric outcome

Obstetric outcomes were obtained from the M0 data. Obstetric outcomes included: gestational age at birth, PTB, birthweight, LBW and mode of delivery. PTB was classified into two categories: PTB before 37 weeks inclusive and PTB before 34 weeks. LBW was classified into two categories: LBW <2500 g inclusive and LBW <1500 g. SGA was defined as a birthweight below −1.5 standard deviations, corrected for gestational age and sex according to the “New Japanese neonatal anthropometric charts.” The mode of delivery was categorized as either vaginal or cesarean.

2.5 Statistical analyses

First, maternal medical background and obstetric outcomes were compared between pregnancies with and without adenomyosis. A Chi-square test was used to compare the categorical variables and a t test was used to compare continuous variables between the two
groups. An adjusted odds ratio (aOR) and 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for PTB at less than 37 weeks and less than 34 weeks, LBW <2500 g and <1500 g, and SGA were calculated using a multiple logistic regression model. The odds ratio was adjusted for maternal age (categorical variable), smoking status, method of conception (with or without medically assisted reproduction), primiparity, coexistence of fibroids or endometriosis, and BMI before pregnancy. In the analysis, maternal age 20-24 years and BMI 18.5-25.0 were used as references. SPSS version 21 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for the statistical analyses. A P value <0.05 indicated statistical significance.

2.6 | Ethical approval

The JECS protocol was reviewed and approved by the Ministry of the Environment Institutional Review Board on Epidemiological Studies and by the Ethics Committees of all participating institutions (Program Office [National Institute for Environmental Studies], The National Center for Child Health and Development, Hokkaido University, Sapporo Medical University, Asahikawa Medical College, Japanese Red Cross Hokkaido College of Nursing, Tohoku University, Fukushima Medical University, Chiba University, Yokohama City University, University of Yamanashi, Shinshu University, University of Toyama, Nagoya City University, Kyoto University, Doshisha University, Osaka University, Osaka Medical Center and Research Institution for Maternal and Child Health, Kyushu University, University of Occupational and Environmental Health, Kumamoto University, University of Miyazaki, and University of Ryukyu). The JECS was conducted in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration and other nationally validated regulations and guidelines. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Fukushima Medical University (approval number 1165); the date of approval was 20 December 2010.

3 | RESULTS

The total number of pregnancies in the JECS was 103 099. Of these, 93 521 maternal cases were eligible for the present study according to the exclusion criteria. The study consisted of 311 pregnancies with adenomyosis (adenomyosis group) and 93 210 pregnancies without adenomyosis (reference group) (Figure 1). The prevalence of pregnancy with adenomyosis was 0.33% (311/93 521).

Table 1 summarizes the maternal medical background and obstetric outcomes in pregnancies with and without adenomyosis. In the adenomyosis group, the mean maternal age at delivery was significantly older than that of the reference group. Moreover, the rate of primiparity and the rate of assisted reproductive technology pregnancy were also significantly higher than those in the reference group.

In the adenomyosis group, gestational age at delivery was significantly earlier than that in the reference group, and the frequencies of PTB at less than 37 weeks and PTB less than 34 weeks were also significantly higher than those in the reference group. Moreover, the differences between the adenomyosis and reference groups were significant with regard to birthweight, LBW <2500 g, LBW <1500 g, cesarean delivery and SGA.

Table 2 shows the results of logistic regression analyses for PTB and LBW. An adjustment by multiple logistic regression revealed that adenomyosis was a significant risk factor for PTB at less than 37 weeks, PTB less than 34 weeks, LBW <2500 g, LBW <1500 g and SGA. When we compared the aOR between PTB at less than 37 weeks and less than 34 weeks, a higher aOR was observed in the less than 37 weeks’ group. On the other hand, a higher aOR was observed in the <1500 g group than in the <2500 g group.

4 | DISCUSSION

In the present study, we demonstrated that adenomyosis was associated with an increased risk of PTB and of LBW using logistic regression analysis. This study also analyzed subcategories of PTB or LBW that represented more severe adverse outcomes (less than 34 weeks and <1500 g). We also found that adenomyosis was especially related to severe LBW in neonates (<1500 g, aOR 2.39) compared with LBW (<2500 g, aOR 1.83). Furthermore, adenomyosis was also significantly associated with SGA. Therefore, these data suggest that severe LBW (<1500 g) in neonates born to women with adenomyosis during pregnancy might be the result not only of PTB but also of growth restriction caused by adenomyosis.

To date, several investigators have reported the influence of adenomyosis on pregnancy outcomes in retrospective studies using relatively small sample sizes. Adenomyosis was associated with an increased risk of PTB and fetal growth restriction. In our
There are three interrelated etiologies of PTB in adenomyosis: the presence of inflammation, increased prostaglandin levels and increased intrauterine pressure. Recently, the causes of endometriosis have been recognized to involve not only hormonal factors but also inflammatory factors. Inflammation is present in the inner myometrium in adenomyosis and is present in the peritoneal cavity in endometriosis. Thus, adenomyosis is similar to endometriosis in terms of its pathologic status. In several previous studies, endometriosis also increased the risk of PTB.16-18 Juang et al reported that severe menstrual pain was more prevalent in PTB cases than in term delivery cases. Moreover, in women with dysmenorrhea, elevated prostaglandin levels found in the endometrial fluid were correlated with the degree of menstrual pain. Therefore, it is reasonable to hypothesize that patients with adenomyosis who have dysmenorrhea have increased endometrial prostaglandin production, affecting decidualization and altering the collagen structure of the fetal membranes, which may lead to PTB.15 Adenomyosis lesions are present deep within the myometrium. The myometrium has an important role in maintaining pregnancy, and lesions within the myometrium may lead to uterine contractions. Ferenczy et al reported that adenomyosis increased the intrauterine pressure and led to cervical changes, as seen in PTB.20

The pathology of SGA in adenomyosis is assumed to be impairment of placentation and reduced blood flow to the placenta. Adenomyosis is a thickened and disrupted junctional zone, which is associated with deep placenta.6,15,16 Yorifuji et al reported that blood flow to the placenta was diminished in women with adenomyosis and fetal growth restriction.21 Hashimoto et al noted that adenomyosis lesions are within the myometrium; therefore, adenomyosis might be blood flow diverted from the placenta.22

This study has potential limitations. In this study, the presence of adenomyosis was identified by a self-reported questionnaire. Therefore, the information about the existence of adenomyosis in each case was somewhat subjective. In clinical practice, adenomyosis is diagnosed by magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasonography, which confirms the type or severity.22 Hasdemir et al noted that not only the presence of adenomyosis but also the type of adenomyosis might be related to fetal growth restriction.15 Therefore, further research that considers the type or severity of adenomyosis is required. Despite these limitations, the present study has several strengths. JECS is the first large, nationwide study in Japan combining medical records and biological samples managed by the Japanese government with meticulous attention to data precision. Additionally, JECS included not only tertiary medical institutions but also a fairly broad selection of other medical institutions.23

**5 | CONCLUSION**

Adenomyosis was associated with an increased risk of PTB and SGA, resulting in an increase of severe LBW. In addition, women with adenomyosis tend to require sterility treatments. Therefore, this study can contribute to appropriate preconception counseling for women with adenomyosis expecting to become pregnant and can also lead

---

**TABLE 1** Maternal medical background and obstetric outcomes of participants based on adenomyosis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Total participants</th>
<th>Adenomyosis</th>
<th>^p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maternal medical background</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age, years</td>
<td>31.2 (5.0)</td>
<td>35.0 (4.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal age category, yrs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>0.8 (749)</td>
<td>0.0 (0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-24</td>
<td>8.9 (8333)</td>
<td>1.3 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-29</td>
<td>27.5 (25723)</td>
<td>10.6 (33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-34</td>
<td>35.5 (33206)</td>
<td>31.8 (99)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35-39</td>
<td>22.6 (21182)</td>
<td>42.1 (131)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 40</td>
<td>4.6 (4328)</td>
<td>14.1 (44)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI before pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18.5</td>
<td>16.1 (15056)</td>
<td>12.2 (38)</td>
<td>0.150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5-25.0</td>
<td>73.1 (68396)</td>
<td>77.5 (241)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;25</td>
<td>10.8 (10069)</td>
<td>10.3 (32)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparity</td>
<td>40.3 (37713)</td>
<td>48.6 (151)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterility treatment</td>
<td>6.6 (6206)</td>
<td>28.9 (90)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART</td>
<td>3.0 (2816)</td>
<td>19.3 (60)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking during pregnancy</td>
<td>4.8 (4518)</td>
<td>3.5 (11)</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibroids, % (n)</td>
<td>6.1 (5715)</td>
<td>28.6 (89)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometriosis, % (n)</td>
<td>3.6 (3412)</td>
<td>41.2 (128)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obstetric outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gestational age, wk</td>
<td>38.8 (1.7)</td>
<td>37.9 (2.4)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth &lt;37 wk</td>
<td>5.2 (4909)</td>
<td>15.8 (49)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm birth &lt;34 wk</td>
<td>1.2 (1129)</td>
<td>3.5 (11)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birthweight, g</td>
<td>3014 (433)</td>
<td>2845 (552)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBW &lt;2500 g</td>
<td>8.8 (8189)</td>
<td>18.3 (57)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBW &lt;1500 g</td>
<td>0.7 (701)</td>
<td>2.9 (9)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA</td>
<td>5.2 (4883)</td>
<td>8.7 (27)</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cesarean section</td>
<td>19.5 (18197)</td>
<td>36.7 (114)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ART, assisted reproductive technology; BMI, body mass index; LBW, low birthweight; SD, standard deviation; SGA, small-for-gestational-age. Values are given as mean (SD) or % (n).

^Each P value was calculated between the group with and without adenomyosis.

---

study, the risk of PTB and SGA was significantly increased in women with adenomyosis, which was consistent with previous data. Unlike previous studies, we used data from a prospective study with a large number of participants. As a result, we were able to use aOR to clarify the prevalence of pregnancy with adenomyosis in Japan and the risk of PTB, LBW and SGA.
TABLE 2  Correlation between adenomyosis and risk of preterm birth, low birthweight or small-for-gestational-age neonates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adenomyosis</th>
<th>Preterm birth</th>
<th>Low birthweight</th>
<th>Small-for-gestational-age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;37 wk</td>
<td>&lt;34 wk</td>
<td>&lt;2500 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
<td>ref</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aOR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>1.81-3.41</td>
<td>1.02-3.55</td>
<td>1.36-2.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; ref, reference.
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to improved obstetric management for pregnant women with adenomyosis. More extensive research, including attention to specific types of adenomyosis, is needed to clarify the correlation between pregnancy with adenomyosis and obstetric outcomes.
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